1	SEKI, NISHIMURA & WATASE, PLC	
2	NICOLE R. CASTRONOVO (SBN 314585)	
3	ncastronovo@snw-law.com 600 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 1250	
4	Los Angeles, California 90017	
5	Tel.: (213) 481-2869 Fax: (213) 481-2871	
6	Attorney for Defendant DEVON CHRISTOPHER WENGER	
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
8	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
9	OAKLAND DIVISION	
10	LINITED STATES OF AMEDICA	C N 4-22 CD 002(0 ICW 2
11	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Case No.: 4:23-CR-00269-JSW-3
12	Plaintiff,	(Hon. Jeffrey S. White)
13	VS.	STATEMENT OF NICOLE R.
14	DEVON CUDISTODUED WENGED	CASTRONOVO RE: ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE
15	DEVON CHRISTOPHER WENGER,	SHOW CAUSE
16	Defendant.	
17	TO THE HONORABLE COURT:	
18	I, NICOLE R. CASTRONOVO, declare as follows:	
19	I am in receipt of the court's order to show cause dated February 4, 2025.	
20	Defense counsel has made strategic choices regarding motions in limine	
21	and exhibits. Mr. Wenger is aware of these choices and has been provided a copy	
22	of the court's order to show cause dated February 4, 2025 as well as this response.	
23	Pending Appeal Actions:	
24	The Defendant has initiated an interlocutory appeal challenging the district court's	
25	denial of his suppression motions, raising substantial constitutional issues that	
26	meet the criteria under the collateral order doctrine. To preserve appellate rights	
27	and prevent irreparable harm, the Defend	lant intends to file:
28		

- A Motion to Expedite the Appeal with the Ninth Circuit, given the proximity of the trial date.
- An Emergency Motion to Stay the Trial pending resolution of the appeal, as the constitutional violations at issue could irreversibly prejudice the Defendant if not addressed before trial.

Position Regarding Government's Exhibits:

The Defendant does not object to the Government's proposed exhibits at this time. While voluminous, these exhibits fail to establish the necessary elements of any of the crimes alleged against the Defendant. The absence of objections should not be construed as agreement with the evidentiary content but rather reflects the Defendant's position that the Government's evidence is insufficient, even if admitted, to satisfy the burden of proof required for conviction.

Dated: February 6, 2025

SEKI, NISHIMURA & WATASE, PLC

By: /s/ Nicole R. Castronovo
NICOLE CASTRONOVO
Attorney for Defendant
Devon Christopher Wenger